

WILL M. YAMADA, ESQ. (SBN 226669)
 KATHLEEN N. MASTAGNI, ESQ. (SBN 244298)
**MASTAGNI, HOLDSTEDT, AMICK,
 MILLER, JOHNSEN & UHRHAMMER**
A Professional Corporation
 1912 I Street
 Sacramento, California 95814
 Telephone: (916) 446-4692
 Facsimile: (916) 447-4614

Attorney for Plaintiff,
 Sugar Workers Union Local No. 1

DANIEL NEWLAND, ESQ. (SBN 087965)
 JENNIFER SVANFELDT, ESQ. (SBN 233248)
 SHARON ONGERTH ROSSI, ESQ. (SBN 232725)
SEYFARTH SHAW LLP
 560 Mission Street, Suite 310
 San Francisco, California 94105
 Telephone: (415) 397-2823
 Facsimile: (415) 397-8549

Attorneys for Defendant,
 C&H Sugar Company, Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SUGAR WORKERS UNION LOCAL NO. 1,)	Case No. C-07-3280-JSW
)	
Plaintiff,)	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
)	ORDER CONTINUING THE CASE
v.)	MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
)	
C & H SUGAR COMPANY,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

Plaintiff Sugar Workers Union Local No. 1 ("Union") and defendant C & H Sugar Company ("C&H") (Union and C&H as the "Parties"), through their respective counsel, respectfully submit the following stipulation and request:

1. The Parties request that this Court continue the initial Case Management Conference presently scheduled for December 7, 2007 and continue the deadline to submit the Joint Case Management Statement currently due by November 30, 2007. The parties propose the Case Management Conference be continued until February 8, 2008 and the Joint Case Management Statement be due by February 1, 2008. The continuance is requested because the

1 parties recently reached a tentative settlement of this case. Without any admission of liability by
2 either side, the parties have agreed to select an arbitrator for the grievance at issue in this matter.
3 The parties reserve the right to raise all defenses during the arbitration and will leave it to the
4 arbitrator to determine whether bifurcation is warranted and, if so, whether he or she will hear
5 both procedural and substantive issues. Plaintiff has informed Defendant that they will dismiss
6 this case without prejudice once the parties have actually selected an arbitrator for the case. The
7 parties have obtained an arbitration panel list and are in the process of selecting an arbitrator
8 from the list.

9 2. Good cause exists to grant the continuance because it would save the Parties and
10 the Court resources and time if the Parties can resolve this matter informally.

11 DATED: December 3, 2007

**MASTAGNI, HOLSTEDT, AMICK,
MILLER, JOHNSEN & UHRHAMMER**

13
14 By /s/ Will M. Yamada
WILL M. YAMADA
Attorneys for Petitioner

15
16 DATED: December 3, 2007

SEYFARTH SHAW LLP

17
18
19 By /s/ Sharon Ongerth Rossi
DANIEL NEWLAND
Sharon Ongerth Rossi
Attorneys for Defendant C&H
SUGAR COMPANY, INC.

20
21
22 [PROPOSED] ORDER

23 The Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Continuing the Case Management Conference and
24 submission of the Joint Case Management Report, Is Hereby Adopted by the Court for the Case
25 and the Parties Are Ordered to Comply with this Order.
26

27 Dated: _____

HON. JEFFREY S. WHITE